Express Mail Label: EJ805275468US

(SOLE INVENTOR)
Atty. Docket No.: END920030016

## D claration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that::

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and sole in ntor h is ND

	ted below) or an original, first an a patent is sought on the inventic RMANCE OF NON-RELATIONA		isted below) of the subject matter which is FUS FOR REDUCING INDEX SIZES AND
the specification of whi	ch (check		
X is	attached hereto.		•
wa	s filed on	as Application Serial No	and was amended on
I hereby state that I have by any amendment refe	/e reviewed and understand the c	contents of the above- identified spec	ification, including the claims, as amended
I acknowledge the duty of Federal Regulations,	to disclose information which is r §1.56.	material to the patentability of this app	olication in accordance with Title 37, Code
	orionty benefits under Title 35, U nd have also identified below any n which priority is claimed:	Inited States Code, §119 of any fore of foreign application for patent or investigation.	ign application(s) for patent or inventor's ntor's certificate having a filing date before
Prior Foreign	Application(s):		
Number NONE	Country	Day/Month/Year	Priority Claimed
the first paragraph of Tit this application as define	tle 35. United States Code 8112	, I acknowledge the duty to disclose i	ication(s) listed below and, insofar as the tes application in the manner provided by information material to the patentability of veen the filing date of the prior application.
Prior U.S. App	plications:		
Serial No. NONE	Filing	Date	Status
are punishable by fine o		Section 1001 of Title 19 of the United	atements made on information and belief Iful false statements and the like so made d States Code and that such willful false
No. 36,493, Joseph Petri Redmond, Jr., Reg. No 1 47,558, Charles J. Gross Reg. No. 41,140, Richard and/or agents to prosect correspondence should be	okaitis, Reg. No. 38,995, John E. 8,753, Andrew M. Calderon, Reg. Reg. No. 52,972, Scott J. Hawm S. Meyer, Reg. No. 32,541, Hae- ute this application and transact	y. No. 33,959, William H. Steinberg, F. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279, Christopher g. No. 38,093, S. Luke Anderson, Reanek, Reg. No. 52,411, Maryam M. I. Chan Park, Reg. No. 50,114 and Marall business in the Patent and Trace 1,1750 Typons Rouleyerd Suite 190	hn R. Pivnichny, Reg. No. 43,001, Arthur Reg. No. 28,540, JoAnn K. Crockatt, Reg. A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, Joseph C. g. No. 44,507, Scott A. Felder, Reg. No. pakchi, Reg. No. 51,835, Philip D. Lane, k J. Young, Reg. No. 39,436 as attomeys demark Office connected therewith. All 0, McLean, Virginia, 22102. Phone calls
(1) Inventor: San	jay Gupta		01/2 5/2
Residence: 37 Citizenship: Inc	C Hudson Harbor Drive, Poughk dia dress: Same as above	eepsie, NY 12601	06/23 /03 Date

(SOLE INVENTOR) Atty. Docket No.: END920030016

\*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.